

Rh₃S₄(100) with Sulfur Vacancy

Rh(211)

Rhodium Sulfide Electrocatalysts for Electrocatalytic Nitrate Reduction

Samuel D. Young 26 Aug 2021

Richards, D., Young, S. D., Goldsmith, B. R. & Singh, N. Electrocatalytic Nitrate Reduction on Rhodium Sulfide compared to Pt and Rh in the Presence of Chloride. *Catalysis Science and Technology*. In review.

samueldy@umich.edu

Goldsmith

ab

Nitrate is a Major Water Pollutant

- Human N contribution to environment: 10⁸ tonnes/yr.^[1, 2]
 - Largest source: ammonia fertilizer (> 100 Tg N).
 - Makes NO₃⁻ is one of the most widespread water pollutants.
- Adverse health effects:^[3-5]
 - Methemoglobinemia.
 - Ovarian and thyroid cancers.





Fields, S. Environmental Health Perspectives **112**, A556–A563 (2004).
Duca, M. & Koper, M. T. M. Energy Environ. Sci. **5**, 9726–9742 (2012).
Farkas, J. Methemoglobinemia in Internet Book of Critical Care (2019).

4. Xie, L. et al. Oncotarget 7, 56915–56932 (2016).

5. Soliman, D. S. & Yassin, M. Congenital methemoglobinemia misdiagnosed as polycythemia vera: Case report and review of literature. *Hematol Rep* **10**, (2018).



Electrocatalytic Nitrate Reduction (NO₃RR) is a Sustainable Route for Nitrate Remediation



- Can be powered with renewable electricity.
- Don't need continuous reductant (H₂) stream.
- Many benign or value-added products possible, especially NH₃/NH₄NO₃.
- Challenge: need more active, selective, and stable electrocatalysts.



Halide Poisoning Inhibits Many Electrocatalysts

- Halide poisoning is an understudied aspect of electrocatalyst design.
- Chloride (Cl⁻) poisons many potential NO₃RR catalysts^[1] and is a very common pollutant.
- Cl⁻ interferes with nitrate adsorption at NO₃RR potentials.^[2]



Juarez, F. et al. Why are trace amounts of chloride so highly surface-active? Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry 847, 113128 (2019).
Richards, D., Young, S. D., Goldsmith, B. R. & Singh, N. Electrocatalytic Nitrate Reduction on Rhodium Sulfide compared to Pt and Rh in the Presence of Chloride. In review.
Ivanovskaya, A. et al. Transition Metal Sulfide Hydrogen Evolution Catalysts for Hydrobromic Acid Electrolysis. Langmuir 29, 480–492 (2013).
Singh, N. et al. Stable electrocatalysts for autonomous photoelectrolysis of hydrobromic acid using single-junction solar cells. Energy Environ. Sci. 7, 978–981 (2014).



Objective: Verify Whether Rh Sulfides Are Active Towards NO₃RR And Resist Cl⁻ Poisoning

- Rh is the most active pure metal for NO₃RR.^[1-2]
- Metal sulfides generally resist halide poisoning in HER.^[3-4]
- Hypothesis: Rh sulfides should combine high Rh activity and poison resistance in NO₃RR.

- Questions:
 - What is the catalytic performance of Rh sulfides relative to Rh and Pt?
 - Are Rh sulfides more poison-resistant than Rh and Pt?
 - Which specific Rh sulfide phases or surfaces are the most active?



Liu, J.-X., Richards, D., Singh, N. & Goldsmith, B. R. Activity and Selectivity Trends in Electrocatalytic Nitrate Reduction on Transition Metals. ACS Catal. 9, 7052–7064 (2019).
Dima, G. E., de Vooys, A. C. A. & Koper, M. T. M. Electrocatalytic reduction of nitrate at low concentration. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry 554–555, 15–23 (2003).
Ivanovskaya, A. et al. Transition Metal Sulfide Hydrogen Evolution Catalysts for Hydrobromic Acid Electrolysis. Langmuir 29, 480–492 (2013).
Singh, N. et al. Stable electrocatalysts for autonomous photoelectrolysis of hydrobromic acid using single-junction solar cells. Energy Environ. Sci. 7, 978–981 (2014).



Catalyst Synthesis

- Pt/C, Rh/C, and Rh_xS_y/C were prepared by catalyst ink impregnation on glassy carbon disks.
- Nanoparticle sizes range from 2.2 nm (Rh/C, Pt/C) to 12 nm (Rh_xS_y/C).
- We successfully synthesized a Rh sulfide phase, as shown in the XRD spectra.



[1] Adapted from Wang, Z., Young, S. D., Goldsmith, B. R. & Singh, N. *Journal of Catalysis* **395**, 143–154 (2021).

[2] Richards, D., Young, S. D., Goldsmith, B. R. & Singh, N. Electrocatalytic Nitrate Reduction on Rhodium Sulfide compared to Pt and Rh in the Presence of Chloride. In review.

[3] Ivanovskaya, A. et al. Transition Metal Sulfide Hydrogen Evolution Catalysts for Hydrobromic Acid Electrolysis. Langmuir 29, 480–492 (2013).

[4] Singh, N. et al. Stable electrocatalysts for autonomous photoelectrolysis of hydrobromic acid using single-junction solar cells. Energy Environ. Sci. 7, 978–981 (2014).



Steady-State Current Density Results

- Rh_xS_y/C is more active than both Rh/C and Pt/C, both with and without Cl⁻ added.
- Pt/C is much less active, likely due to very weak NO₃⁻ adsorption.
- Rh_xS_y/C maintains 62% activity during poisoning, compared to 37% for Rh/C.
- Rh_xS_y/C is more poisonresistant than Rh/C, and more than Pt/C at more positive potentials.





DFT Modeling of Rh Sulfide Surfaces

- Modeled Rh sulfides using representative facet for each phase.^[1]
- Density functional theory used to calculate binding energies and barriers.
- Central questions to answer:
 - 1) How do adsorbates bind to different Rh_xS_y facets?
 - 2) Which surface is most active?
- 3) Do S vacancies promote NO₃RR activity?

Pristine Rh_xS_y



Top views of various Rh_xS_y surfaces



 $Rh_2S_3(001)$

Rh_xS_y with S Vacancy

● = Rh. ● = S. ● = O. ● = N

Rh₁₇S₁₅(100)





 $Rh_3S_4(100)$



 $Rh_{17}S_{15}(100)$ = S vacancy

[1] Singh, N. et al. Investigation of the Active Sites of Rhodium Sulfide for Hydrogen Evolution/Oxidation Using Carbon Monoxide as a Probe. Langmuir 30, 5662-5668 (2014).



1) How do adsorbates bind to different Rh_xS_y facets?

- Pristine sulfide surfaces adsorb much more weakly than transition metals – likely not active sites for NO₃RR.
- Rh_xS_y with S vacancies follow scaling relationship similar to metals, but possibly more poisoned by Cl⁻.
- S-defected Rh₃S₄(100) predicted to bind both NO₃⁻ and Cl⁻ strongest.





2, 3) Which surface is most active? Do vacancies matter?

 $H^+_{(aq)} + * + e^- \rightleftharpoons H^*$ $NO^-_{3(aq)} + * \rightleftharpoons NO^*_{3} + e^ Cl^-_{(aq)} + * \rightleftharpoons Cl^* + e^-$

- Modeled competitive adsorption of H, Cl, and NO₃ on surface using Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanisms.
- Combined coverages and barriers to get TOFs.
- Two likely mechanisms:
 - Direct NO₃ dissociation (transition metals,^[1] previous computational models^[2])
 - H-assisted NO₃ dissociation (consistent with present data on Pt/C)



[1] Dima, G. E., de Vooys, A. C. A. & Koper, M. T. M. Electrocatalytic reduction of nitrate at low concentration on coinage and transition-metal electrodes in acid solutions. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry 554–555, 15–23 (2003).
[2] Liu, J.-X., Richards, D., Singh, N. & Goldsmith, B. R. Activity and Selectivity Trends in Electrocatalytic Nitrate Reduction on Transition Metals. ACS Catal. 9, 7052–7064 (2019).



2, 3) Which surface is most active? Do vacancies matter?

- With barriers and potential-dependent coverages, can calculate potential-dependent TOFs.
- S-defected Rh₃S₄(100) is predicted to be most active, through direct mechanism.
- S vacancies facilitate NO₃RR.







Conclusions

- Rh_xS_y/C is ~4x as active as Rh and ~15x as active as Pt at 0.1 V vs. RHE.
- Rh_xS_y/C maintains maintains 62% activity during poisoning, compared to 37% for Rh/C.
- S-defected Rh₃S₄(100) is most active surface, through direct reduction.
- Future research:
 - Synthesize specific facets and defects to confirm activity predictions.
 - Isotopic labeling to confirm reaction mechanism.
 - Core-shell or nanoparticle size engineering to reduce Rh used.





Acknowledgements

- Danielle Richards and Prof. Nirala singh for experimental work and insights
- Dr. Jin-Xun Liu for previous computational work on pure metals
- Prof. Bryan R. Goldsmith Advisor
- Michigan Institute for Computational Discovery and Engineering
- National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center
- XSEDE San Diego Supercomputing Center





Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment Soldsmith Lab See paper updates or view these slides







Danielle Richards

Bryan R. Goldsmith Nirala Jin-Xun Liu Singh





Backup slides



2, 3) Which surface is most active? Do vacancies matter?

- Computed barriers for each sulfide surface, for each proposed mechanism.
- Lowest barrier is S-defected Rh₃S₄(100) through the direct mechanism.
- H-assisted mechanism tends to have higher effective barriers – likely not the active mechanism on Rh_xS_y.





Faradaic Efficiency

- At 0.1 V vs. RHE, 0.1 M HNO₃, Rh_xS_y/C has 67% FE to NH₄⁺ (compared to 92% for Rh/C).
- Rh_xS_y/C has lower FE than Rh/C, but about 3 times the absolute NH₄⁺ yield.







PureTec Industrial Water. What is Reverse Osmosis? https://puretecwater.com/reverse-osmosis/what-is-reverse-osmosis
Distek, Inc. BIOne Single-Use Bioreactor System. https://www.distekinc.com/products/bione-single-use-bioreactor-system/
Adapted from Hasnat, M. et al., J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 28 (2015) 131–137

Nitrate binding energies adjusted with a thermodynamic cycle

- Plane-wave DFT cannot model single point charges—they would multiply infinite with periodic boundary conditions.
- Strategy: compute binding energies using neutral NO₃, then compute equivalent energy of NO₃⁻ using a thermodynamic cycle.^[1]





All computed barriers





All computed TOFs



